4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

KINSELLA WEITZMAN ISER KUMP & ALDISERTI LLP FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT MICHAEL J. KUMP (SBN 100983) mkump@kwikalaw.com JONATHAN STEINSAPIR (SBN 226281) jsteinsapir@kwikalaw.com LAURA D. CASTNER (SBN 172362) lcastner@kwikalaw.com 808 Wilshire Boulevard, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Santa Monica, California 90401 Telephone: 310.566.9800 Facsimile: 310.566.9850

JUL 2 5 2011 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY

Attorneys for Defendant Shaquille O'Neal

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION

ROBERT ROSS, an individual,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SHAQUILLE O'NEAL, an individual, MARK STEVENS, an individual, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

ACV 11-6 12 4 JHN/EX

DEFENDANT SHAQUILLE O'NEAL'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1441

(DIVERSITY JURISDICTION)

## TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE COURT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Shaquille O'Neal hereby gives notice of removal of the above-captioned action from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 465355, to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division, and states as follows:

TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL AND JOINDER OF DEFENDANTS

- 1. On July 15, 2011, the above captioned action was commenced in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, by the filing of a Summons and Complaint. A true and correct copy of the Summons and Complaint is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 2. Counsel for Defendant Shaquille O'Neal accepted service on behalf of O'Neal on July 25, 2011, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 415.30. Service was therefore effected on July 25, 2011, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 415.30(c). See Murphy Bros., Inc. v. Michetti Pipe Stringing, Inc., 526 U.S. 344, 348 (1999) (thirty-day removal period runs from formal service of summons and complaint). A true and correct copy of the executed Notice and Acknowledgement of Receipt for Shaquille O'Neal is attached as Exhibit B.
- 3. Thirty days since service of the summons has not yet expired and therefore this notice of removal is timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). The Complaint was the first pleading, notice, order, or other paper from which it could be ascertained that the case is removable.
- 4. Defendant Mark Stevens has not yet been served with the Summons and Complaint; accordingly Stevens' consent to removal is not required. *See Destfino v. Reiswig*, 630 F.3d 952, 957-958 (9th Cir. 2011). Further, the "Doe" defendants need not join in the notice. *See Fristoe v. Reynolds Metals Co.*, 615 F.2d

1209, 1213 (9th Cir. 1980) (Doe defendants need not be joined in petition for removal).

## JURISDICTIONAL BASIS OF REMOVAL

- 5. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and this action may be removed to this Court by Defendant O'Neal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b), in that it is a civil action between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000.
- 6. Complete diversity of citizenship exists in that Plaintiff is not a citizen of any State where Defendants are citizens. Specifically,
  - (a) Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California: at the time of the filing of the Complaint and up to the present date, Plaintiff was and is a resident of the State of California (see Exh. A (Complaint) at ¶ 1) and California was and is his domicile. See, e.g., Lew v. Moss, 797 F.2d 747, 750 (9th Cir.1986) ("For purposes of diversity jurisdiction, an individual is a citizen of his or her state of domicile, which is determined at the time the lawsuit is filed.").
  - (b) Defendant Shaquille O'Neal is a citizen of the State of Florida: at the time of the filing of the Complaint and up to the present date, O'Neal was and is a resident of the State of Florida and Florida was and is his domicile.
  - (c) Defendant Mark Stevens is a citizen of the State of Georgia: at the time of the filing of the Complaint and up to the present date, Stevens was and is a resident of Georgia was and Georgia was and is his domicile.
- 7. It is facially apparent from the causes of action asserted in the Complaint that Plaintiff seeks damages in excess of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. Defendant O'Neal is a well-known former professional basketball player, whose career spanned from 1992 until his retirement in 2011. During that time he played for the Orlando Magic, the Los Angeles Lakers, the Miami Heat, the Phoenix

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Suns, the Cleveland Cavaliers and the Boston Celtics. During his basketball career, O'Neal was the winner of the 1999–2000 MVP award, the 1992–93 NBA Rookie of the Year award, 15 All-Star Game selections, three All-Star Game MVP awards, three Finals MVP awards, two scoring titles, 14 All-NBA team selections, and three NBA All-Defensive Team selections. O'Neal was one of the highest-paid players (if not the highest-paid player) in the NBA for many years. He has also released four rap albums, appeared in several movies, and starred in two reality television shows. Plaintiff's Complaint seeks damages in an unspecified amount for alleged (a) intentional infliction of emotional distress, (b) false imprisonment and assault and battery, (c) breach of a purported profit-sharing contract relating to promotion of various music artists, and (d) conversion of personal property alleged to be worth in excess of \$15,000. (See Ex. A, Complaint, ¶¶6, 10-18, 20-21, 23-24, 26-29). In addition, Plaintiff seeks punitive damages. (See id., ¶18, and p. 7:13-14.) Under all the circumstances—including the inflammatory nature of the claims alleged by Plaintiff, the types of damages claimed, and the publicly-available information regarding O'Neal's basketball career earnings—it is clear that Plaintiff's claims exceed \$75,000.

## COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER REQUIREMENTS FOR REMOVAL

- 8. Defendant O'Neal has attached all pleadings filed in the Superior Court.
- 9. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal will be given to Plaintiff, and a copy of the Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles.
- 10. Defendant O'Neal respectfully reserves all defenses, including but not limited to all defenses specified in Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

808 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 3°P FLOOR SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401 TEL 310.566.9800 • FAX 310.566.9850 WHEREFORE, Defendant Shaquille O'Neal hereby removes this action from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles to the United States District Court, Central District of California, Western Division.

DATED: July 25, 2011

KINSELLA WEITZMAN ISER KUMP & ALDISERT LLP

By:

Michael J. Kump

Attorneys for Defendant

Shaquille O'Neal

3	Santa Monica, CA 90401 Tel: (310) 459-2830	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALLFORNIC COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES JUL 15 2011				
4	Fax: (213) 459-4621 Email: mark@overlaw.net	John A. Clarke, Executive Officers BY V9 year School De Rogeon Whater				
5		Region Mano				
б	LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS G. GRAY 5850 Canoga Avenue, Suite 400 Woodland Hills, CA 91367					
7	Tel: (818) 888-7632 Fax: (818) 888-7427					
8	Email: douglaw368434@aol.com					
9	Attorney for Plaintiff, ROBERT ROSS					
10		,				
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
12	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES					
13	ROBERT ROSS, an individual, ) Case No.	Attackers;				
14		BC465355				
15	) IMPRISON	INT FOR FALSE NMENT-KIDNAPPING,				
16 17 18	vs. ) [Penal Code §207 and 236; Civil Code §3294 and 3333], ASSAULT AND SHAQUILLE O'NEAL, an individual, ) BATTERY [Civil Code §3294 and 3333], ROBBERY-CONVERSION (Code §3294 and 3333), ROBBERY-CONV					
19	Defendants. ) EMOTION	ENTIONAL INFLICTION OF IAL DISTRESS, and OF CONTRACT				
20						
21	Plaintiff Robert Ross ("Plaintiff") hereby alleges:					
22	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS					
23	1. Plaintiff's Residence. At all times material hereto Plaintiff was a resident of the					
24	County of Los Angeles, State of California.					
25	2. <u>Defendants' Residences</u> . The residences of Defen	-				
6	("O'Neal") and Mark Stevens ("Stevens") are outside of the Sta	te of California and are presently				
7						
8	COMPLAINT					
28	COMPLAINT  EXHIBIT A Page 5					

unknown to Plaintiff who will amend this Complaint to insert these residences when they are ascertained.

- 3. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. All of the acts set forth herein (except as described in Paragraph 7 below) occurred in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 4. <u>Doe Defendants</u>. The identities of the Defendants named as Does 1 through 100 are presently unknown to Plaintiff who will amend this Complaint to add their names when they are ascertained. Each of these Doe Defendants is legally responsible for the acts described herein and for the damages suffered as a result thereof.
- 5. Agency. All of the acts alleged herein were performed by each Defendant, including the Doe Defendants, as the agent of each and all of the other defendants and were performed within the course and scope of said agency.
- 6. False Imprisonment (Kidnapping). Assault and Battery, Robbery (Conversion of Personal Property) and Infliction of Emotional Distress. On or about February 11, 2008 in the County of Los Angeles, Defendants O'Neal and Stevens, acting through their agents Ladell Rowles, aka "Dog," and other members of a Los Angeles street gang Main Street Mafia Crips ("the Street Gang"), kidnapped Plaintiff, committed an assault and battery on Plaintiff, converted the personal property of Plaintiff, and intentionally caused Plaintiff to suffer emotional distress.
- 7. Absence from the State of California. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendants O'Neal and Stevens have been absent from, and resided outside, the State of California since at least August 21, 2007 through the present time and for a period sufficiently long to toll, pursuant to Section 351 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, all statutes of limitations which would have otherwise applied to Plaintiff's cause of action.
- 8. <u>Conspiracy</u>. Defendants O'Neal and Stevens on or about February 11, 2008 engaged in a conspiracy with each other, members of the Street Gang, and others to commit all of the acts set forth herein.

COMPLAINT

## 

4.

# 

## 

## 

COMPLAINT

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS
False Imprisonment (Kidnapping) and Assault and Battery
[Penal Code §207 and 236 and Civil Code §3294 and 3333]

- 9. <u>Incorporation by Reference</u>. By reference, Plaintiff hereby alleges Paragraphs 1 through 8 of this Complaint as through fully alleged herein.
- 10. Plaintiff's Personal Relationship with Defendant O'Neal and Relationship to the Street Gang. For many years prior to February 11, 2008 Plaintiff was a close personal friend and confidant of Defendant O'Neal. Plaintiff suffered prior felony convictions before his relationship with Defendant O'Neal. Plaintiff had also been associated with the Street Gang and, although he has not been a member for approximately twelve years, knows its members. At all times during the relationship with O'Neal, O'Neal was aware of Plaintiff's past criminal record as well as his past relationship with the Street Gang and O'Neal had knowledge of its street members. O'Neal's relationship with Dog is expressed in a rap video by O'Neal, DJ, Kay Slay, Papoose, and Bun B.
- 11. O'Neal's Tasks and Directives to Plaintiff. Because of O'Neal's knowledge of Plaintiff's prior criminal record as well as his past involvement with the Street Gang, for many years O'Neal requested and directed Plaintiff to perform many personal favors and directed him to perform various tasks including, but not limited to: ordering Plaintiff to kill a member of the Downtown Gangster Crips who had disrespected O'Neal in front of his wife Shaunie; an order to kill a woman, whom O'Neal had impregnated and paid for her abortion; an order to kill a renown record producer; and an order to break an NBA player's shooting arm.
- 12. <u>Business Dealings</u>. Prior to February 11, 2008 Defendants O'Neal and Stevens represented to Plaintiff that if Plaintiff would generate singers, musicians, and other artists for use by "Deja 34," a record company owned by Defendants O'Neal and Stevens, then Plaintiff would receive one-half of the profits earned by Defendants from all such singers, musicians, and artists. In response to this representation Plaintiff brought Ray J, a prominent signer, to

Defendants who signed Ray J to a contract and thereafter generated profits from his works.

Defendants, however, failed and refused to pay Plaintiff his rightful share of all profits generated by the works of Ray J. The refusal of Defendants to pay Plaintiff caused a termination of their friendships.

- 13. <u>Video of Sexual Activities</u>. While Defendant O'Neal was married and prior to February 11, 2008, Defendant O'Neal engaged in sexual acts with a female, not his wife, at Plaintiff's home. Defendant O'Neal having sex was videotaped by a recording device installed in Plaintiff's home.
- 14. <u>Defendant O'Neal's Jealousy</u>. After February 11, 2008 and after Defendant O'Neal had filed for divorce and was separated from his wife (Shaunie), Plaintiff began an affair with Shaunie. When Defendant O'Neal learned of this relationship between Plaintiff and Shaunie, Defendant O'Neal became extremely jealous and angry toward Plaintiff.
- become public, and because of Defendant O'Neal's raging jealousy toward Plaintiff, Defendants O'Neal and Stevens directed and employed members of the Street Gang to kidnap, attack, rob, and threaten Plaintiff. On February 11, 2008 Dog and members of the Street Gang carried out the orders of Defendants and kidnapped Plaintiff from Sunset Boulevard, Hollywood and forcibly drove him to Dog's residence in South Central Los Angeles where they assaulted, robbed and threatened Plaintiff at gunpoint. At the beginning of the kidnapping, James Herbin ("Wood") told Plaintiff that "the big homey" ("Dog") needed to straighten out problems between O'Neal, Stevens (O'Neal's business manager) and Plaintiff. During the kidnapping Dog told Plaintiff that O'Neal and Stevens want the tape of O'Neal having sex at Plaintiff's home delivered by Plaintiff to Dog. When Plaintiff promised to get the tape, he was released. During the kidnapping and robbery, Stevens communicated by phone with one of the members of the Street Gang and emphasized that O'Neal needed to obtain the sex tape from Plaintiff. Unbeknownst to Stevens, this conversation was tape recorded pursuant to an FBI wiretap on the

cell phone of the member of the Street Gang. The Defendants unlawfully violated the Plaintiff's personal liberty by intentionally taking him to and holding him in a place without his consent and against his will.

- 16. Purpose of the Kidnapping, Attacks, and Robbery. The Defendants' purpose in directing the kidnapping, assault, robbery, and threats to Plaintiff was made clear when he was told by his abductors to deliver the O'Neal sex tape to them. These abductors were acting on behalf of and were carrying out the orders of the Defendants and were performing these acts with the full knowledge, consent, and approval of Defendants. Additionally, on February 12, 2008, a mutual friend of Plaintiff and Defendants called Plaintiff and asked him about the kidnapping, assault, and robbery. When asked by Plaintiff how he knew about the incident, the friend stated Stevens had called him and told him to tell Plaintiff that all that had happened the night before was because of Plaintiff's affair with Shaunie, but that Dog was only told to get the sex tape from Plaintiff.
- 17. <u>Compensatory Damages</u>. As a proximate result of the misconduct of the Defendants, Plaintiff suffered physical injuries and emotional distress when he was kidnapped, assaulted, beaten with a handgun, and robbed, all according to proof.
- 18. Punitive Damages. All of the conduct described above was done intentionally, willfully, wantonly, maliciously, with a reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights. Punitive damages should be awarded Plaintiff in an amount which considers the reprehensibility of the conduct of the Defendants, the financial conditions of the Defendants, and for an amount which constitutes a reasonable relationship between the compensatory damages and the punitive damages. The amount of the punitive damages should be sufficient, in light of the Defendants' financial conditions, to punish the Defendants and to discourage future wrongful conduct.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS

#### Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

19. <u>Incorporation by Reference</u>. By reference, Plaintiff hereby alleges Paragraphs 1

COMPLAINT

through 18 of this Complaint as though fully alleged herein. 1 2 20. Intentional Misconduct. All of the acts of the Defendants were intentionally designed and performed to inflict emotional distress upon the Plaintiff. 3 21. Damages. As a proximate result of the Defendants' miseonduct, Plaintiff suffered 4 5 emotional distress, all according to proof. 6 THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS 7 Conversion of Personal Property-Robbery 8 [Civil Code §3336 and Penal Code §211] 9 22. <u>Incorporation by Reference</u>. By reference, Plaintiff hereby alleges Paragraphs 1 10 through 21 of this Complaint as though fully alleged herein. 11 23. Conversion by Defendants. On February 11, 2008 Plaintiff was the owner of a 12 diamond necklace, diamond earrings, a Rolex watch and \$15,000 in cash which Defendants 13 intentionally and wrongfully took possession of and converted to their own use without 14 Plaintiff's consent, permanently depriving him of ownership and possession. 15 24. Damages. As a proximate result of the conversion of Plaintiff's personal property without his consent, Plaintiff has suffered damages including, without limitation, the value of the 16 personal property, compensation for the time and money spent attempting to recover Plaintiff's 17 property, and emotional distress suffered as a result of the conduct of the Defendants, all 18 19 according to proof. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS 20 21 **Breach of Contract** 25. By reference, Plaintiff hereby alleges Paragraphs 1 22 Incorporation by Reference. through 24 of this Complaint as though fully alleged herein. 23 On or about August 21, 2007, Plaintiff and Defendants O'Neal and 24 26. Agreement. Stevens orally agreed that Plaintiff would generate singers, musicians, and/or other artists for use 25 26 by these Defendants. In consideration for doing so, Plaintiff would be paid in Los Angeles 27 28 COMPLAINT

1	County one-half of the profits generated by the works of each such singer, musician, and/or artist.
2	27. Plaintiff Performed. In accordance with the representations and promises made by
3	Defendants, Plaintiff fully performed and introduced the artist Ray J to Defendants who signed
4	Ray J to a contract and thereafter generated profits as a result of sales from the artistic
5	performance of Ray J.
6	28. <u>Breach by Defendants</u> . Defendants breached their agreement with Plaintiff by
7	failing and refusing to pay him the agreed upon one-half of all profits earned as a result of the
8	performance of Ray J.
9	29. <u>Damages</u> . As a proximate result of the breach by Defendants, Plaintiff has been
10	damaged in an amount according to proof.
11	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for:
12	(1) Compensatory damages according to proof;
13	(2) Punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter Defendants and others similarly
14	situated from such misconduct in the future;
15	(3) Costs of suit; and
16	(4) For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
17	DATED: July, 2011 LAW OFFICES OF MARK E. OVERLAND
18	DATED: July, 2011 LAW OFFICES OF MARK E. OVERLAND
19	Mark & Onvilain
20 21	MARK E. OVERLAND
22	Attorney for Plaintiff, Robert Ross
23	DATED: July, 2011 LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS G. GRAY
24	
25	Want The sa
26	DOUGLAS G. GRAY
27	Attorney for Plaintiff, Robert Ross
28	COMPLAINT

	POS-015
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Eler number, and address): DOUGLAS G. GRAY, ESO. SBN 37948  LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS G. GRAY 5850 Canoga Avenue, Suite 400 Woodland Hills, CA 91367	FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO.: (818) 888-7632 FAX NO. (Optional): (818) 888-7427  E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Nome): Plaintiff, ROBERT ROSS	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street  MAILING ADDRESS: Same CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS Angeles, CA 90012  BRANCH NAME: Central District	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: ROBERT ROSS	AL.
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: SHAQUILLE O'NEAL, et al.	·
NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT—CIVIL	CASE NUMBER: BC465355

TO (insert name of party being served): SHAQUILLE O'NEAL

#### NOTICE

The summons and other documents identified below are being served pursuant to section 415.30 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Your failure to complete this form and return it within 20 days from the date of mailing shown below may subject you (or the party on whose behalf you are being served) to liability for the payment of any expenses incurred in serving a summons on you in any other manner permitted by law.

If you are being served on behalf of a corporation, an unincorporated association (including a partnership), or other entity, this form must be signed by you in the name of such entity or by a person authorized to receive service of process on behalf of such entity. In all other cases, this form must be signed by you personally or by a person authorized by you to acknowledge receipt of summons. If you return this form to the sender, service of a summons is deemed complete on the day you sign the

Date of mailing: July 15, 2011

<u>DOUGLAS G. GRAY</u>

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF SENDER—MUST NOT BE A PARTY IN THIS CASE)

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT**

This acknowledges receipt of (to be completed by sender before mailing):

1. A copy of the summons and of the complaint.

2. Other (specify):

Civil Case Cover Sheet, Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum, Notice of Case Assignment-Unlimited Civil Case, Los Angeles Superior Court ADR Programs, Los Angeles Superior Court ADR Information Package

(To be completed by recipient):

Date this form is signed:

July 25, 2011

MICHAEL J. KUMP, Attorney for S. O'Neal (TYPE OR PRINT YOUR NAME AND NAME OF ENTITY, IF ANY, ON WHOSE BEHALF THIS FORM IS SIGNED)

(SIGNATURE OF PERSON ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT, WITH TITLE IF ACKNOWLEDGMENT IS MADE ON BEHALF OF MOTHER PERSON OR ENTITY

Form Adopted for Mandetory Use Judicial Council of California POS-015 [Rev. January 1, 2005]

NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT -- CIVIL

Page 1 of 1 Cade of Civil Procedure, §§ 415.30, 417.10 www.courlinfo.ce.gov

3

4

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and **not a party to this action**. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 808 Wilshire Boulevard, 3rd Floor, Santa Monica, CA 90401.

On July 25, 2011, I served the following document(s) described as NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT – CIVIL on the interested parties in this action as follows:

Douglas G. Gray
Law Offices of Douglas G. Gray
5850 Canoga Avenue, Suite 400
Woodland Hills, CA 91367
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Fax No. (818) 888-7427

Mark E. Overland Law Offices of Mark E. Overland 100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 950 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Attorney for Plaintiff Fax No. (213) 459-4621

- BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Kinsella Weitzman Iser Kump & Addisert LLP's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
- BY FAX TRANSMISSION: Based on an agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the document(s) to the persons at the fax numbers listed in the Service List. I sent this transmission at \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m. The telephone number of the sending facsimile machine was 310.566.9850. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. I printed out a copy of the record of the fax transmission.
- BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the document(s) to be sent from e-mail address choffman@kwikalaw.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I sent this transmission at \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m.. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
- BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I enclosed said document(s) in an envelope or package provided by the overnight service carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight service carrier or delivered such document(s) to a courier or driver authorized by the overnight service carrier to receive documents.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 25, 2011, at Santa Monica, California.

Candace E. Hoffman

28

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401 TEL 310.566.9800 • FAX 310.566.9850 1

2

3

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

4 5

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and **not a party to this action**. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is Time Machine Network Inc., 1533 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

6

On July 25, 2011, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as **DEFENDANT SHAQUILLE O'NEALS' NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1441; CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET** on the interested parties in this action as follows:

PROOF OF SERVICE

8

9

Douglas G. Gray Law Offices of Douglas G. Gray 5850 Canoga Avenue, Suite 400

Woodland Hills, CA 91367

0 |

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fax No. (818) 888-7427

Mark E. Overland Law Offices of Mark E. Overland 100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 950 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Attorney for Plaintiff Fax No. (213) 459-4621

**BY HAND DELIVERY:** I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand to the office of the addressee(s).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on July 25, 2011, at Santa Monica, California.

Candace E. Hoffman

10339.00007/74657.1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Jacqueline Nguyen and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Charles Eick.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 6124 JHN (Ex)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

#### NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division
	312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
	Los Angeles, CA 90012

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

_		
1	Eastern Division	
	3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 1	34
	Riverside, CA 92501	

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check be Robert Ross	ox if you are representing yourse		1	DEFENDANTS Shaquille O'Neal	CON	IFORM
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Add yourself, provide same.) Mark Overland, Law Offic 100 Wilshire Blvd., Ste 95 Phone: 310-459-2830 em Douglas Gray, Law Office 5850 Canoga Ave., Ste. 40 Phone: 310-888-7632; em	ces of Mark E. Overland 50, Santa Monica, CA 90 <sup>2</sup> ail: mark@overlaw.net ss of Douglas G. Gray 00, Woodland Hills, CA 9	401 91367	-	808 Wilshire Blvd., Th Santa Monica, Ca 9040	: Kump & Aldisert LLP nird Floor	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO  1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	(Place an X in one box only.)  3 Federal Question (	U.S.	III. CIT (Place	ce an X in one box for plaint  PTF  DEF	L PARTIES - For Diversity iff and one for defendant.)  Incorporated or Principal	PTF DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendan	Government Not a  It X 4 Diversity (Indicate of Parties in Item I	Citizenship	Citizen of A Citizen or S Foreign (	ubject of a 3 3	of Business in this State Incorporated and Principa of Business in Another Sta	l Place 5 55
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one I Original X 2 Remove Proceeding State C	ed from 3 Remanded from		nstated or [opened	5 Transferred from anot (specify):	her district	
891 Agricultural Act 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Info. Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-		TO PERSON.  310 Air  315 Air  Lia  320 Ass Sla  330 Fed Lia  340 Ma  345 Ma  Lia  350 Mo  355 Mo  700  360 Oth Inju  362 Pers Med  365 Pers Med  368 Ass Inju Liat  IMMIGI	ORTS  AL INJURY plane plane Produce bility sault, Libel & nder I. Employers' bility rine rine Product bility tor Vehicle tor Vehicle duct Liability ter Personal	TORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/Acco- mmodations 444 Welfare	PRISONER PETITIONS  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus/ Other 555 Prison Condition FORFEITURE/ PENALTY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs 660 Occupational	LABOR  710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS
nation Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes  FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case	240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property e Number:	App 463 Hab Alie 465 Oth Acti	olication peas Corpus- en Detainee er Immigratio	Other  440 Other Civil Rights	690 Other	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609

CV-71 (05/08)

# Case 2:11-cv-06124-JHN -E Document 1 Filed 07/25/11 Page 18 of 18 Page ID #:21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASE If yes, list case number(s):	S: Has this action l	been previously filed in this	court and dismissed, remanded or closed? X No Yes			
	Have any cases be	en previously filed in this co	ourt that are related to the present case? X No Yes			
	cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:					
(	ng the following inf trict; California Cou	ormation, use an additional anty outside of this District;	sheet if necessary.) State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.			
County in this District:*	nment, its agencies	or employees is a named pla	aintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).  California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles						
			State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which <b>EACH</b> named defendant resides. endant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
County in this District.			Shaquille O'Neal - Florida;			
			Mark Stevens - Georgia			
		nty outside of this District; See location of the tract of la	State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bo Note: In land condemnation case			a, or San Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORN	EY (OR PRO PER)	: Michael J. Kump	Date July 25, 2011			
or other papers as required by	y law. This form, ap	proved by the Judicial Confe	information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings brence of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed nitiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating	to Social Security C	ases:				
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action			
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as ame Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services und program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
BL All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, I (30 U.S.C. 923)			g" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.			
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widow Act, as amended. (42 U.S.	ws or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security .C. 405(g))			
864	SSID	All claims for supplementa Act, as amended.	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security			
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (U.S.C. (g))	(old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42			

CV-71 (05/08)